•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/829,597	TANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary J. Steelman	2191	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Mary J. Steelman</u> .	(3) <u>AlPenilla, Reg. No</u>	(3) <u>AlPenilla, Reg. No. 39,487</u> .	
(2) Jayanthi Minisandram, Reg. No. 53,330.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2006</u>	Time: <u>2PM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 49 & 60  Prior art documents discussed:  Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the interview in the Allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a varate record of the substance of	vritten summary of the substance the interview, since the interview	
Many Statum 8:31.06			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested Examiner's Amendment to clarify independent claims. Claims are related to an executing program & multiple display windows. User input displays updated results. A "most related" list of users and list of objects are dynamically selected for display as the program executes. Applicant agreed to amend claims 49 and 60..